

Tick one column for each statement:

E = Excellent VG = Very Good G = Good F = Fair D = Disappointing

	E	VG	G	F	D
1. Quality of Speakers	13%	79%	5%	3%	
2. Relevance of topics	9%	56%	35%		
3. Scheduling and timing	22%	40%	25%	13%	
4. Opportunity for networking	25%	54%	18%	3%	
5. Communication with registrants	25%	51%	20%	2%	
6. Overall, how would you rate the event	13%	62%	18%		

Any comments

- Shorter presentations – more engaging
- Start section too long – not enough time for each speaker
- Key people attending being the speakers who did not stick around
- Could benefit from prior review/feedback guidance
- Youth and Pasifika, Maori voice amongst presenters and balanced female voice
- Twitter great way to engage with the conference online
- More discussion time
- Would be good to have Youth on panels etc

2. The SC16 theme and topics are relevant to me.

YES 95%

Comments:

- A great start for the new post CERA/CCDU environment
- Great Theme for 2016 Great cross section - Open Forum
- Very much so
- Felt like there was not enough diversity of speakers. Mainly men and Pakeha over 40 yr olds. Would have loved to hear from Nga Tahu. Speakers also tended to be from Government or commercial backgrounds/senior management. More community engaged speakers would have made for more dynamic discourse
- As a resident of the city the future of Christchurch is important to me
- Greater emphasis is needed now on the importance of bringing youth into the planning in a very involved way to establish that the new CHCH is for THEM
- Some more relevant than others
- Great insight of different aspects that need good discussion – Greatly relevant to the different points of importance from different stakeholders
- Good all round summary of relevant issues and progress

- Topics for another time – Sustainability – heat, energy from water, rising groundwater, floating structures in Holland, employing 3000 people wish to promote entrepreneurship among refugees in NZ. The conference today gave me some tips about the place of enterprise in Christchurch. Some discussion on specific projects by contributors could have been greater
- It would be good to have more on supporting people to get their lives back together
- Would have been great to have round table/or 1 on 1 interaction with Ross Butler and Andre Lovatt
- Some topics less relevant to me personally but I appreciate the need for range
- Very useful for me as I carve out a new role in the Canterbury and South Island setting
- A shame that Andre and Ross left early
- Not all speeches were closely aligned to the topic but worked ok overall
- Not enough time for discussion – people seemed eager to participate but when it came down to it Q &A was very brief and moderators cut people short.

3. The balance of input and discussion at SC16 was appropriate.

YES 73%

Comments:

- More silent participants could contribute maybe questions/suggestions could be emailed to Lyall.
- Disappointing that City “decision makers” were not present for most Q&A
- It would have been nice to have one question for each speaker but I understand time was a consideration
- Need to limit q’s to q’s and 1 minute only too many statements and speeches from the floor
- Run well – fast paced
- Interesting topics, good discussions, opportunity for interaction
- We have evolved – no longer whining – instead the future is emerging
- Disappointing that key decision makers thin on the ground at key discussion times
- Huge programme so necessarily a bit rushed
- I felt grateful to be here. Thanks a lot for the opportunity
- Some great variety that helped give a holistic account
- The panel discussions in the morning discussion were too short to make these valuable – perhaps more time 5-10 would have been good

NO 15%

Comments:

- Innovation and collaboration rely on sharing of diverse perspective, this is a great event but I feel it could be even more powerful if there was more time available for discussion.
- Not sure about that. Hard to manage discussions with such a large audience.
- Lots of being talked to – not much choice for workshops etc. As a result felt like it was more PR Based. Less problem solving more problem focussed.
- More engagement required with youth and community groups
- More break out /discussion/open forums
- In terms of speakers a better cross section of society as well as the issues that affect all socio-economic fractions would be more appropriate

4. I attended the following:

Morning Breakout Sessions - REGENERATION

1. *Engaging: Generating Community Input and Feedback*

Facilitator: Brendon Burns

Attended: 57%

Comments:

- Great Session!
- Great opportunity to share views and ideas
- Reiterated the fact that the future of Christchurch should be about its people
- Great confidence in Andre Lovatt
- Highly relevant
- Need more of this kind of session
- Very good facilitator

2. Knowledge Sharing: Understanding more about the evolving shape of Greater Christchurch

Facilitator: Birgit Maier

Attended: 31%

Comments:

- Fantastic for Birgit to start with 10 mins of table discussions
- Great!
- Inspiring
- Good interaction
- One of the speakers spent most of his time on micro/specific project ideas – interesting but didn't really add top the session theme. The others were all very interesting
- Good group feedback
- Diversity of views/opinions
- I liked the discussion on 'real stories' presented by actual business owners. It's very interesting to hear other people's journey rather than just talk strategy

3. I didn't attend the morning breakout sessions

5%

5. What were the highlights for you at SC16?**Comments:**

- Laurie Johnson's presentation, Leanne Curtis' 1st presentation, Justin Kean's presentation
Dr Rolleston, Andre Lovatt
- Great to get an update across so many different fields of interest. I would have loved to see more visibility of the NGO Sector's contributions to recover.
- Leanne Curtis, Haydn Read
- Encouraging comments of engagement with community
- Attendance by our youth
- Views from the community
- Really enjoyed the talks by Dr Laurie Johnson, Leanne Curtis and Bruce Griffiths
- Opportunity to make comments on behalf of the design community
- Good to hear about the new organisation heading the rebuild
- The talk on the smart city
- Higher level insight into Canterbury rebuild
- Range of participants speakers and subjects
- CPIT presentation
- The Afghanistan man in the end session brought perspective about need and innovation and the youth challenge re their participation
- Hearing from Regenerate CHCH Otakaro
- Those speakers who emphasised the fact that the regeneration should be community led for community needs
- Samson's session at breakout session
- EQC – Hugh Cowan
- The discussion after the presentations
- The morning session

- The opportunity for government agency and different members of society to exchange opinions is actually great!!
- (doesn't happen in other countries)
- Conversations with participants
- Lessons learnt and accumulation of knowledge
- Innovation, future focussed
- Input from youth sector and Laurie Johnson
- The CanCERN presentation and the presentation on youth mental health
- Listening to what has worked and been learnt
- Eco City – André Lovatt's approach – Digital City
- Great discussion sessions
- Diversity of contributions/topics of discussion
- Asking questions – Highlighting issues from the past that need addressing urgently
- Hearing views of the local community
- Hearing the diversity of views and perspective that were present
- Meeting people from areas of community, business and government otherwise wouldn't get to meet
- Hearing from the community groups – Eastern Frame and CanCERN etc
- Understanding what's happening and what's planned – big picture
- Interaction/Q&A at end – But it would have been better to have Andre and Ross available for more interaction/questions
- Discussion and engagement was excellent
- I appreciated the breadth- both 'hard'/ physical regeneration and 'soft' social aspects
- The range of informed voices – the passion for this city
- Mental health issues
- Anchor Projects
- CanCERN work
- The wide range of speakers
- Being able to question and discuss key issues with policy and decision makers
- Lunch and the opportunity to ask questions. Engaging on twitter. Having input
- Enjoyed the twitter discussion. Please have a look at all of these as there are recommendations there. Jenny Vickers
- Katie Pickles
- Afghan immigrant
- Morning session and open discussions

In your view what are the two most important priorities now for the regeneration of Greater Christchurch?

- Communication/engagement of all sectors, leading to cohesive vision for future of Christchurch
- Better connections are made between the community, business & central and local government sectors.
- Community is actively engaged in Thinking & decision making going forward. This will take enormous effort to rebuild trust and productive relationships
- Action – establish credibility for Regenerate and Otakaro
- Communication/Action
- Seek and Learn the voice of our youth – they are the generation that will be affected by our decisions.
- Re-establishing trust and meaningful engagement
- Community participation in decision making - Listening
- Re-engaging the public and documenting the lessons learnt
- Open transparent public engagement Placing emphasis on a design lead rebuild and regeneration process
- Community Participation
- Consultation and information to the people
- Action on anchor projects
- Clarity and honesty
- Increased focus and integration of public transport/pedestrians
- Leadership and effective engagement
- Hearing about innovations which need to be enabled – inspiring and something to invigorate us
- Communities helping Regenerate CHCH to engage meaningfully
- Community engagement
- Communication
- Involving people in decision making
- Don't make the mistakes made in the past

- Transparency
- Sustainability
- Eco-energy efficiency
- Youth engagement
- Engagement and delivery
- The alignment between achieving high goals (ie anchor projects etc) with the other different goals that matter to people – we are all in the same boat...so we should all be understanding of each others priorities
- Build confidence in the new entities running the post CERA environment
- Thanks for an interesting, insightful and sometimes challenging day – my first time.
- Action and betterment
- Learn from others, collaborated engage
- Mental health of citizens
- Development of eco-friendly spaces
- People working together – getting things done
- Must re-engage – remain positive/determined
- Finding a way to meaningfully listen to the views of the whole city and integrate them into future plans
- Get underway with the crown major projects
- TRUE and real, Community engagement, Building of trust
- Listening to and involving the people of Christchurch
- Greater communication between govt/decision makers and citizens and more transparency about decision making process
- Being brave to slow things down for real community participation. Working with community and not simply organised “community “ lobby groups.
- Collaboration – Agencies with community and private sector – Trust need to rebuild/improve
- Quality of community consultation – Dilution of powers to local entities
- Visibility of leaders - accessibility
- Anchor projects – CBD/Red Zone development
Regeneration needs to get engaged – fast
- Otakaro needs to make sure its ears are open too
- Continue the move to more inclusive and meaningful participation in decision making for citizens
- Ensure leaning are shared and implemented elsewhere
- Ensuring the transition of power from CERA/Central Government to stronger local central and community involvement
- Working with the community on ideas to fill the gaps between the Anchor Projects and the Red Zone
- Building collaboration and trust and working together rather than ‘them and us’
- Looking ahead – a beacon – engagement across the whole community
- Getting the voice of all in the community heard.
- Tangible results from this collaboration
- Youth voice
- Community engagement/participation
- All groups working more closely with 1. Young people and 2. Pasifika and Maori and Multicultural
- Social development in terms of how prejudices evolve during times of disaster
- Youth involvement /engagement
- How in this step change an environment is set up for meaningful engagement... and ongoing dialogue about our city and its future
- All making a commitment to look forward build trust, some of the parts is key to achieve our collective potential
- Need to think about a few less obvious speakers on first set of panels – put the youth rep in there, community groups
- Ensure diversity in attendance by enabling community groups to attend

7. Any other comments:

- A really valuable event – thanks for organising
- Thanks for enabling access via a discounted rate for the NGO Sector.
- Communicate the progress made by the above organisations in relation to public suggestions/ideas
- Opportunity to network with Key speakers not there – Lianne Dalziel, Antony Gough etc left straight after presentations – disappointing.
- CEISMIC would love to talk next year or run a workshop etc. Thanks for having us. Also less speakers but more in depth talks would be better.

7. Any other comments ...

- I look forward to the next forum
- If there's a Seismics 2017 can we have less officials and more innovators – Youth, New Migrants, Ngai Tahu, Aranui school cluster, small business etc. Get an overview of the city and developments from officials ads then let them listen to the people
- Fantastic event – lots to take away
- Well done again – keep it going Please
- Put Keith Beal on the Speakers List
- Triple bottom line problem solving and decision making. At the board table – not just nice to have? Need more than 3 minutes out of a day to properly present the role of ecology in projection, problem solving, seeing outside square opportunities
- A positive personally to have attended, contributed and listened to a variety of perspectives
- At risk at times of turning into a venting/bagging session by those unwilling to consider perspectives or ideas other than their own. Collaboration and engagement goes both ways and so does mutual respect – rather than finger pointing
- Key decision makers either attending for their own session or leaving very early was very disappointing
Key speakers left so didn't hear the discussion
Too much negativity. We are in a changed place
- Thanks for a great event
- Great really enjoyed it
- Well done!
- Main point is that it was a very white pakeha group. You should get a Maori Speaker next time and reach out harder to them
- Well done bringing in a good youth presence. I would like to see still more diversity, esp Maori and Pacific Island /other ethnicities
- Thank your for the opportunity to attend
- Well done team! And thanks to Rydges for how well they catered to special food requirements
- Length of seminar was quiet lone
- Involving more people on ground to hear real life stories
- Overall very good – Diverse range of speakers
- Need more diversity in speakers – was good to hear updates from main organisation but need to hear from other involved entities
- Free youth registrations so more young people can attend in the future
- I enjoyed the first session a lot more that the afternoon
- Thank you so much for this opportunity

Other Comments

"You and the Christchurch multimedia team were fantastic to work with. I very much appreciated the attention to detail that you all provided."

Laurie Johnson, Consulting | Research

"Thanks Lyall. I look forward the digital record. I think it is a very worthwhile event and enjoyed the time I was there."

Hon Nicky Wagner, Associate Minister, Canterbury Earthquake Recovery

"Thanks again to yourself and Lyall for such a well organised event, will certainly be keen to attend others in the future."

Colin McGreever, Ranstad

"Thanks Lyall It was an enjoyable day."

David Johnston, GNS

"Thanks so much for your message and for the opportunity to be a part of this. My team really enjoyed the discussions and I appreciated the chance to speak."

Leanne Crozier, Decipher Group Ltd

"I found the day to be quite stimulating personally and from the conversations I had I would conclude that many fellow attendees thought likewise. It is a very real positive that you capture the contributions and discussions for posterity and I welcomed the chance to discuss my framework for analysing transport systems using the six NETS approach. I find that it does encourage one to look for where there are constraints that are holding back developments that will contribute to shaping our city into the future in a way that can capture any paradigm shifts to advantage."

Prof Chris Kissling